	A	ddress: COMMISSIONI Washington, I	ER OF PATE D.C. 20231	NTS AND TRADEMARKS
SERIAL NUMBER   FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/292.286 08/18/9	4 STANLEY		M	56292 EXAMINER
DENNIS H LAMBERT & AS 7000 VIEW PARK DR BURKE VA 22015	C2M1/1116 SSOCIATES	GA	ARBE, S	PAPER NUMBER
This is a communication from the examiner in	n charge of your application	DATE	3207 MAILED:	11/16/95
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRAC	DEMARKS			
This application has been examined				
A shortened statutory period for response to Failure to respond within the period for respo	this action is set to expire/ inse will cause the application to t	pecome abandoned. 35	days fro U.S.C. 133	om the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S	3) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	:		
Notice of References Cited by Exa     Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, P     Information on How to Effect Draw	PTO-1449.	4. Notice of Inf	ormal Patent	tent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION				
1. Claims 1-20				are pending in the application
	17-15			
2. Claims				_have been cancelled.
3. Claims				_ are allowed.
4. ( Claims 1-), 56, an				
5. Claims				
6. Claims		are subject	ct to restrictio	n or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with in	nformal drawings under 37 C.F.R.	1.85 which are accepta	ble for exami	nation purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in resp	onse to this Office action.			
9. The corrected or substitute drawings are acceptable; not acceptable	have been received on	aftsman's Patent Drawin	Under 37 C g Review, P1	F.R. 1.84 these drawings FO-948).
The proposed additional or substitute examiner;    disapproved by the examiner.	sheet(s) of drawings, filed on aminer (see explanation).	has (t	ave) been	approved by the
1. The proposed drawing correction, filed	d, has t	peen □approved; □	disapproved	(see explanation).
<ol> <li>Acknowledgement is made of the claim been filed in parent application, se</li> </ol>	m for priority under 35 U.S.C. 11 rial no; fi	9. The certified copy ha led on	s 🛘 been re	ceived not been received
Since this application apppears to be accordance with the practice under Expression.	in condition for allowance except x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 45	for formal matters, prose 3 O.G. 213.	ecution as to	the merits is closed in
4. Other				

Serial Number: 08/292,286 -2-

Art Unit: 3207

٠,

## Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions: I, I, III.

- I. The bag and a header having the form of a rigid rod configuration.
- II. The bag and a header having the form of a rectangularly shaped bar; a connecting bar having a flange that can be interengaged with the main body portion via plurality of pins; and a stitching that connects the two sides of the bag to the connector bar.
- III. The bag and a header having the form of a rectangularly shaped bar; the header is secured to a rectangular flange; which the material of the bag is folded in half, and the opposite edges of the material are stitched to the flange.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 2 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Serial Number: 08/292,286

**Art Unit: 3207** 

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Stephen Garbe at telephone number (703) 308-1207.

STEPHEN P. GARBE RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3200

-3-